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ABSTRACT

The authors have recently proposed a model, based on Monte Carlo methods, to simulate light interaction with sand [1]. In this paper, principal component analysis (PCA) and regression techniques are applied to yield a compact analytical representation of the spectral reflectance signatures produced by the model. This analytical formulation compares well with the original model and is appropriate for applications demanding interactive rates.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sand is an ubiquitous material found in diverse and remote environments, from Earth deserts and costal regions to extraterrestrial landscapes. Accordingly, airborne or satellite-based equipment is often used to measure the spectral signatures of these sandy areas in order to infer their intrinsic properties without the need for a field survey. Predictive simulations of light interaction with sand can provide a substantial contribution to these efforts. Notably, their application in conjunction with traditional measurement procedures can accelerate the hypothesis generation and validation cycles of remote sensing research frameworks aimed at this widespread type of soil.

In remote sensing studies involving soils, principal component analysis (PCA) and regression techniques are often applied to the classification and interpretation of satellite images by using spectral data associated with different soil targets [2, 3, 4, 5], to assist spectral band selection for multispectral remote sensor systems [6, 7], and to reduce the dimensionality of soil hyperspectral data sets [8, 9]. They have also been used to establish the spectral similarity among soil samples and soil types, which is related to major soil constituents, such as mineral (e.g., iron oxides and nitrate) and organic matter contents, and assist the prediction and analysis of these soil constituents [10, 11, 12].

Recently, the authors introduced a spectral light transport model for sand, called SPLITS [1]. The model employs Monte Carlo techniques to simulate light interaction with a sand sample, and may be used to predict the reflectance of the sample, given its physical and mineralogical characteristics. The goal of the research presented here is to provide an analytical approximation to the SPLITS model. This proposed representation for the model makes use of PCA and regression techniques to yield a compact alternative to SPLITS.

2. BACKGROUND

The purpose of the SPLITS model is to simulate the spectral and spatial properties of light interaction with sand, given its physical and mineralogical characteristics [1]. For the present work, we concern ourselves with the spectral aspect.

Within the SPLITS modeling framework, a sand medium is represented by randomly oriented and randomly sized spheroidal particles distributed throughout the half-space below a plane boundary [1]. The parameters to the model include the mass concentrations of the iron oxides hematite, goethite, and magnetite: three major factors contributing to soil reflectance; as well as the amount of water present in the sand, expressed as the degree of saturation, the fraction of pore space occupied by water [13]. Additional parameters describe the geometrical arrangement of the mineral constituents. These include the fraction of particles by volume that consist of a single mineral (pure), of a mixture of the parent material and an iron oxide (mixed), and of the parent material coated by an iron oxide mixture (coated). In the case where coated particles are present, another parameter describes the thickness of the coating relative to the size of the particle.

The Monte Carlo simulation consists in tracing a path from an incident beam of light, through a simulated sand medium, until the ray is either scattered or absorbed. However, rather than storing the locations of individual sand particles, the particles are generated as required during the sim-
ulation. Light interaction with the particle is simulated, and the particle is subsequently discarded.

As is typical with Monte Carlo simulations, many trials (on the order of $10^5$ paths in this case) are required to determine the overall light transport behavior of a given sample. Such simulations can take much time, which makes experimentation with the model difficult for applications that demand high interactive rates. For such applications, it is therefore be preferable to have an analytic alternative to this model.

3. METHODOLOGY

The analytical formulation for the proposed approximation to SPLITS is derived as follows. Physical and mineralogical data representing a set of 500 hypothetical sand samples (the training set) were generated randomly from within the domain of the SPLITS model. The model is then applied to yield the corresponding spectral reflectance curves for those samples. Specifically, the directional-hemispherical reflectance [14] was evaluated using an incident angle of $0^\circ$. Other incident angles could be used as required for particular applications.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on these reflectance curves (Section 3.1), yielding a small set of basis spectra along with the principal components associated with each sample. Regression analysis (Section 3.2) was then performed to obtain a predictor mapping the physical and mineralogical data characterizing a given sand sample to the corresponding principal components. The results of these analyses are then combined to yield an analytical formulation for the spectral reflectance signatures simulated by the SPLITS model.

3.1. Principal Component Analysis

Principal component analysis [15] was performed on these reflectance curves. The spectral curves from the training set were aggregated into an $\ell \times n$ matrix $R$, where $\ell$ is the size of the training set and $n$ represents the number of wavelengths sampled per set. The rows $r_i$ of $R$ correspond to the samples in the training set. The analysis consists in performing an eigen-decomposition of $R^t R$. This yields $R^t R = U \Lambda U^t$, where $\Lambda$ is the diagonal matrix with the descending eigenvalues $\lambda_i$ of $R^t R$ along the main diagonal, and $U$ is an orthogonal matrix having the corresponding eigenvectors $u_i$ for its columns.

The eigenvectors $u_i$ denote the directions of decreasing variance in the training set, with $u_1$ being the direction of maximum variance [15]. The eigenvalues $\lambda_i$ indicate the variance in the direction of $u_i$. This property allows us to ignore variance beyond a given threshold by projecting the data into the space spanned by the first $k$ eigenvectors $u_1, \ldots, u_k$, for some $k < n$. That is, we reexpress the data as

$$\tilde{r}_i = U_k^t r_i,$$

where $U_k$ is the matrix formed by dropping all but the first $k$ columns of $U$ [15].

This allows us to represent the spectral curves generated by the SPLITS model using a small set of basis spectra (three were found to be sufficient to represent over 99.9% of the variance in the spectral reflectance data from the training set) along with the principal components $\tilde{r}_i$ associated with each sample (see Figure 1).

3.2. Regression

To relate the principal components $\tilde{r}_i$ of a training datum to its corresponding physical characteristics, a regression analysis [15] was performed. The physical and mineralogical characterization data $x_i$ describing the sample were first mapped to an $m$-dimensional feature space via a function $\phi(x)$ in the components of $x$. Linear regression was then performed in this feature space to yield an $m \times k$ matrix $W$. This gives a nonlinear predictor

$$\tilde{r} \approx W^t \phi(x).$$
yielding a mean RMS error of 0.0068 for the principal components corresponding to a given set of characterization data.

3.3. Summary

By combining Equations (1) and (2), we obtain a predictor for the corresponding spectral curve,

\[ r \approx U_k W^T \phi(x) \]

For a set of physical data corresponding to a given sand sample, therefore, the analytical approximation to the SPLITS model is evaluated by applying the predictor function obtained via regression to that data, yielding principal components. These principal components are multiplied by the corresponding basis spectra and summed to obtain the reflectance spectrum of the sand sample in question.

4. RESULTS

To evaluate the accuracy of this approximation for a given sample, the spectral reflectance curves produced by the SPLITS model are compared to those given by the proposed analytical formulation. This comparison was performed for all of the samples in the training set, yielding a mean root-mean-squared (RMS) training error of 0.0051.

Also, characterization data corresponding to an independent set of 500 hypothetical sand samples (the test set) was generated randomly within the domain of the SPLITS model. The above evaluation was also performed using this test set, yielding a mean RMS error of 0.0068. In comparison with results obtained by applying similar techniques to reconstruct the spectral signatures of other natural materials [16], these relatively low error values indicate that the analytical approximation provides a good spectral reconstruction of the curves generated from the original model. This aspect is further illustrated by a summary of the RMS errors presented in Table 1.

The RMS errors for the individual samples in the test set are provided in Figure 2, shown against each of the model parameters that were varied. Note the lack of pattern in the errors as a function of any model parameter. Plots demonstrating typical comparisons between the reflectance curves simulated by SPLITS with those provided by the proposed formulation are shown in Figure 3. These indicate that the proposed formulation accurately represents the reflectance curves simulated by the SPLITS model.

5. CONCLUSION

We have derived a compact analytical formulation for the spectral directional-hemispherical reflectance signatures of sand samples. It is based on principal component and regression analysis of SPLITS: a light transport model recently introduced by the authors [1]. The proposed formulation compares well with the original model, and is efficient enough to use when high interactive rates are required.

6. REFERENCES


Fig. 2. The root-mean-square (RMS) error (across all wavelengths sampled) is shown against each of the model parameters varied. Upper row (left to right): Total iron oxide concentration by mass ($\vartheta_{Fe}$), concentration of magnetite by mass ($\vartheta_m$), relative concentration of hematite vs. goethite by mass ($r_{hg}$), degree of saturation ($S$). Lower row (left to right): Relative thickness of grain coating ($h'$), fraction of pure particles by mass ($\mu'_p$), fraction of mixed particles by mass ($\mu'_m$), fraction of coated particles by mass ($\mu'_c$).

Fig. 3. Comparisons between the directional-hemispherical reflectance [14] as simulated by the SPLITS model and the approximation presented in this work for three hypothetical sand samples. The asterisks represent the reflectance computed using the SPLITS model. The solid line indicates the approximation using principal component analysis (PCA) and regression analysis.


